		Application No. Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	mary	10/805,217	YOSHINAKA, NOBUYOSHI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>new</u>	<u>v</u>	
(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.		(3)		•
(2) <u>Andrew Meikle</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 September 2005		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner proposed an amendment which would place the application in condition for allowance (proposal faxed to applicant 27 September 2005)				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)